## GOOLAWAH CO-OPERATIVE Section 96 Application December 2016

## **RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS RECEIVED BY COUNCIL**

OBJECTION	RESPONSE
Goolawah conducted     unauthorised road works, and     cut down trees and blocked     watercourses.	The Co-op has been directed by council to maintain the roads to a high standard. Encroaching vegetation along Illa Langhi Road reserve was recently removed for fire and road safety.
If Goolawah uses both roads evenly then they should upgrade both roads.	The Co-op maintains both Illa Langhi and Nevertire Roads to high standard.
3. Not a minor subdivision, 78 dwellings.	The Co-op is unlikely to reach full occupation. Currently 27 households.
4. Both roads now 20-200 vehicle movements per day.	The Co-op traffic counts have consistently shown approximately 25vpd on each road.
5. Bundagen in Coffs Harbour passes no rural residential zones on its access road.	The Bundagen access road is a public road used by State Forest and members of the public to access a popular beach.
6. Heavy vehicle traffic has been increasing on Illa Langhi Road.	As a public road, heavy vehicles can use Illa Langhi Road to access both Co-op residents and neighbours. Current level of construction activity will decrease as dwellings are completed.

7. Goolawah should have anticipated cost of development and accounted for it before commencement.	As a SEPP15 Rural Land Sharing Community, the Co-op did plan for appropriate road construction.
8. Council should be equal with all developers, Council has been too lenient with Goolawah.	The Co-op is not a 'money maker' developer, but rather a low cost affordable housing not-for-profit community.
9. Fire truck would need to water road all day every day to keep dust down.	Only during dry conditions.
10.Goolawah residents often go to Port Macquarie or Crescent Head.	Correct. This splits the traffic relatively evenly between the two roads.
11.DEX report is not worded the same as Goolawah rationale.	Objection unclear.
12.Sharp corner on Nevertire does not need to be cambered, could sign post, ridiculous scenario to suggest Goolawah would need to buy land.	Purchase of land is only relevant to a Type 3 sealed road design.
13.If Goolawah can't handle costs they should scale back.	Objection unclear.

14.Are there still unauthorised dwellings at Goolawah?	Irrelevant to Section 96. All dwellings on the Co-op have DA approval.
15.Goolawah boundaries are not fenced to public roads.	Co-op does not run any stock. After the 2002 fires most neighbours have not replaced burnt fencing.
16.No improvement to traffic access has been undertaken since approval.	Incorrect. The Co-op built the roads and has continued to grade and maintain them without contributions from neighbours.

17.Illa Langhi Rd is approved as emergency access only, but road is used by Goolawah residents.	Incorrect. Illa Langhi Rd is a public road.
18.Goolawah have changed their postal address and letterbox to Illa Langhi Rd.	Incorrect. NSW Department of Lands mandated it, and then used by AEC, ATO, Centrelink, etc.
19.Goolawah has blocked fire trails in National Park.	Incorrect. National Parks have erected gates to stop illegal access to fire trails (by trespassers across Co-op land).
20.Illa Langhi and Nevertire will have increased maintenance costs if not constructed to the correct standard.	Engineering experts advise 'all-weather' standard unsealed roads are the best option for both Illa Langhi and Nevertire Roads.
21.There are areas where passing on Illa Langhi road is hazardous.	A neighbour has constructed illegal fencing, making the road very narrow in that area.
22.The traffic counts provided by Goolawah are not valid, and do not include visitor and construction vehicles.	Incorrect. Co-op 2016 traffic counts included visitors and delivery vehicles. The Co-op did not count neighbours using the access roads.
23.Goolawah residents do not seem to car pool.	Incorrect. Co-op residents regularly car pool.
24.No date or trigger provided for the new road construction proposal.	Incorrect. Time-lines were provided.
25.Money Goolawah spent on road was mostly for internal roads.	Incorrect. Access roads maintained to the same high standard as internal roads.
26.Bitumen better suited on road.	Incorrect, according to expert engineering advice.

27.Goolawah has not had consultation with local residents.	Incorrect. Co-op representatives met with neighbours on several occasions.
28. Visitors and public are told they cannot access internal roads.	Co-op's internal roads are private property.
29.No safe access to development.	Incorrect. Access to the Co-op is safe.